H. Adjourn

G. Other Business and Announcements
   2D - Future Council Workshop
   2C - OMA Aerial: Current
   2B - 1995 OMA Property Report
   2A - Moratorium on OMA Property

F. Information Items
   2. Comdemnation Resolution #15-08 (Mike Matthews)
      1. Weed Resolution #15-07 (Mike Matthews)

E. New Action Items

D. Unfinished Action Items
   1. Consider approval of the January 5, 2015 Minutes

C. Minutes

B. Approval of Agenda

A. Call to Order

Start Coordinator: Amber Copeland
Committee Members: Sanning, Sanchez and Tabitha Denby
Committee Chair: Jason Perry

425 North Richardson Avenue
Conference Room at Roswell City Hall
Monday, February 2, 2015 at 8:00 a.m.

Roswell Planning and Zoning Committee
City of Roswell
Planning and Zoning
Council Committee Minutes

December 2, 2014
Members Present: Chair Jason Perry, Councillor Savino Sanchez, and Councillor Tabitha Denny

Staff Present: Steve Polasek- City Manager, Louis Najar- City Engineer, Marlin Johnson- City Planner, Amber Copeland- Zoning Technician, Elizabeth Stark-Rankins- Support Services Administrator.

Others Present: Randall Seyler

1. The meeting was called to order at 8:00 a.m. with a quorum.

2. Agenda:
The agenda was approved as presented.

3. Minutes:
Chair Perry noted corrections to be made to the minutes of November 4, 2014. Councilor Perry made a motion to approve the minutes as amended. Councilor Sanchez made the second. All voted in favor.

4. Unfinished Action Items:
Proposed Changes to Zoning Ordinance No. 10-02: Amended
Ms. Copeland discussed proposed changes to the Zoning Ordinance. Chair Perry discussed the definition of “family” and suggested including the word “single” when referencing the common cooking facility. Chair Perry asked that Staff look at other municipalities to see how accessory structures are commonly regulated. Councillor Denny asked Staff to look at height requirements. Mr. Polasek stated he felt it was fine to have a 0’ rear yard setback when abutting an alley but that a setback should be required for side yards abutting neighboring properties. Discussion on accessory structures ensued. Chair Perry asked Staff to address the Committee’s concerns and bring a new draft back in February. Mr. Polasek stated a cap on accessory structure height may be considered. Ms. Copeland stated she would bring a new draft in for the Committee to review.

Councillor Denny left the meeting at 8:27 a.m.

5. New Action Items:
Weed Resolution No. 15-02
Councillor Sanchez made a motion for Weed Resolution No. 15-02 to be placed on the Consent Agenda for the January 8, 2015 City Council meeting. Chair Perry made the second. All voted in favor.

Condemnation Resolution No. 15-03
Councillor Sanchez made a motion for Condemnation Resolution No. 15-03 to be placed on the Consent Agenda for the January 8, 2015 City Council meeting. Chair Perry made the second. All voted in favor.

6. Information Items:
Planning and Zoning Commission Report
Mr. Johnson reviewed the attached abstract.

City Property Northeast of College Boulevard and Sycamore Avenue- Approximately 118 Acres
Mr. Najar stated he would like to hold a workshop for Council that would look at the entire Old Municipal Airport (OMA). Mr. Polasek stated he would like to see an overlay district at the OMA to control development. Mr. Najar stated the workshop would discuss zoning, parcels, and existing issues and would include taking information from Councillors. Chair Perry stated the Council would rely on Staff for information and asked that the issue come to the Planning and Zoning Committee first as this is a unique situation. He stated the Committee could give input before a Council workshop.
The meeting was adjourned at 8:36 a.m.

There was no other business.

Other business or announcements:
MEMORANDUM

Monday, February 2, 2015

Subject: Discussion of proposed changes to Zoning Ordinance No. 1.0.2: Amended.

From: Planning and Zoning Department

To: Planning and Zoning Committee

Item No. 1-D.1

To receive comments from the Committee on proposed ordinance changes to Zoning Ordinance No. 1.0.2: Amended.

Staff Recommendation: Proceed.

To make changes to proposals and to direct staff on how to commonly used terms.

Staff is working on updating the Ordinance to accommodate changes to Zoning Ordinance No. 1.0.2: Amended.

Options:

Background:

Action Requested:
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The area used for the exercise track is the highest point in the park.

Home Occupation: A home business operated in accordance with Article 6.

Home, model: A building containing lodging accommodations for temporary guests.

Hospital: A building providing lodging on a temporary basis with a spent kitchen and other facilities in a residential area.

Hygienic (HG): Which is offered for rent or lease.

Improvised Camping Unit: An improvised temporary structure located near the property.

Indian or Native American Heritage Site: A structure used for cultural or educational purposes.

P.C. The Federal Commission of Communications and Broadcasting.

PAM, The Public Access Manager of its authorized successor agency.

Parking: Any area of land where vehicles are parked in the park.

Penetrate: The process of entering or passing through a boundary.
ARTICLE 8: R-2 RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

Section 1. Purpose

Section 2. Use Regulations

Section 3. Development Requirements

Any use permitted in the R-1 District...

A. Permitted Uses are as follows:
Section 5. General Standards and Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>1973 S.F.</th>
<th>1987 S.F.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Minimum setbacks and height requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 4. Area, Zoning, and Height Requirements

and improvements are needed.

A 3) The site shall not be less than 2.5 acres in size and shall be so dimensioned so that

otherwise affected in the Ordinance.

Section 3. Site Development Requirements

B Special Uses

Section 2. Use Regulations

Section 1. Purpose

CAMPGROUND DISTRICT

ARTICLE II. (RPP) RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARKS AND
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.30-10.45</th>
<th>10.45-11.00</th>
<th>11.00-11.15</th>
<th>11.15-11.30</th>
<th>11.30-11.45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**No of Dependent: 2, 3, 4, 5**

**Fees: 2, 3, 4, 5**

**Shade:**
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Break:**
- 10.30-10.45
- 11.00-11.15
- 11.30-11.45

**Duration:**
- 2 hours
- 3 hours
- 4 hours
- 5 hours

---

No evidence of a table or diagram is present in the image.
Section 1: Purpose

The district is intended to provide a mix of uses to reflect the diversity of residents, businesses, and visitors. Residential, commercial, and industrial uses are allowed to support a vibrant community. The district promotes a walkable, mixed-use environment that encourages the use of public transportation and active transportation modes. The district is designed to accommodate a variety of development types, including multi-family housing, retail, office, and industrial uses.

Section 2: Use Regulations

V. Permanent Uses are as follows:

A. Production Uses:

B. Special Uses are as follows:

1. Any special uses in the C-1 District
Section 5. General Standards and Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>100%</th>
<th>200%</th>
<th>250%</th>
<th>300%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEIGHT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 4. Area, setbacks and height requirements

In the RPD District, the following requirements shall apply to all buildings and structures, unless otherwise specified in the ordinance.

A. RVP size and area: a. RVP shall not be less than 2.5' in size and shall be dimensionally correct, unless otherwise specified in the ordinance.

B. Development Requirements

1. In an accessory commercial use, building a C-1 District permitted use, not to exceed 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area.

2. Special use is allowed:
   a. An accessory commercial use, not to exceed 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area.
   b. A special permit for a C-1 District permitted use, not to exceed 5,000 sq. ft. of floor area.

Section 3. Site Development Requirements

A. Access to the public parking lot shall be maintained.

B. The access shall not be less than 25' wide, unless otherwise specified in the ordinance.

Section 2. Use Regulations

A. Access to the public parking lot shall be maintained.

B. The access shall not be less than 25' wide, unless otherwise specified in the ordinance.

Section 1. Purpose

A. The following development regulations:

1.1. Promote the public welfare, safety, and health of the citizens by maintaining minimum standards for residential and commercial buildings, parks, and public spaces and to provide for the protection of the public welfare.

1.2. Promote the public welfare, safety, and health of the citizens by maintaining minimum standards for residential and commercial buildings, parks, and public spaces and to provide for the protection of the public welfare.

1.3. Promote the public welfare, safety, and health of the citizens by maintaining minimum standards for residential and commercial buildings, parks, and public spaces and to provide for the protection of the public welfare.

1.4. Promote the public welfare, safety, and health of the citizens by maintaining minimum standards for residential and commercial buildings, parks, and public spaces and to provide for the protection of the public welfare.

1.5. Promote the public welfare, safety, and health of the citizens by maintaining minimum standards for residential and commercial buildings, parks, and public spaces and to provide for the protection of the public welfare.

1.6. Promote the public welfare, safety, and health of the citizens by maintaining minimum standards for residential and commercial buildings, parks, and public spaces and to provide for the protection of the public welfare.
A. Exceptional Parking: visitor, overflow, and other common parking shall be conveniently located for the

K. Amenities: parking spaces, restrooms, and other common amenities shall be conveniently located for the
driver’s convenience. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

L. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

M. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

N. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

O. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

P. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

Q. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

R. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

S. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

T. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

U. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

V. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

W. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

X. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

Y. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the

Z. Clubhouse: access to the clubhouse shall be convenient. Parking spaces shall be conveniently located for the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Dependents</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Toilet Plates</th>
<th>Sink</th>
<th>Chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Outdoor Stoves**: Fire pits and grills shall be so located, constructed, maintained, and used to minimize fire hazards and smoke nuisance both on and off the property. No open fires shall be permitted.

- **Disposal of Waste Water System**: All disposal areas shall be acceptable for no more than one-kind of the toilet required in the dwelling unit. The disposal area of sanitary services shall be connected to the park sewage disposal system.

- **Manual Scavenger**: Garbage shall be removed from the property at least once every week and disposed in a sanitary manner.

- **Disposal of Garbage**: Disposal of garbage shall be placed in a clean, efficient, and convenient manner.

- **Prohibition of Smoke**: No smoking shall be permitted in accordance with all ordinances and the City of Lowell building code. A City of Lowell building permit must be obtained prior to construction.

- **Consolidation of All Hazards into a Single Building**: Location is acceptable and must be approved by the Building Commission and the City of Lowell building code.
ARTICLE 4. ACCESSORY LIVING

Section 1. Purpose

The purpose of this article is to establish general building and performance standards to preserve and enhance the public welfare, health, and safety by establishing standards of construction, design, fire protection, and equipment for accessory structures.
MEMORANDUM

From:          
To:            

Subject:      
Item No.:    
Meeting Date:      

E-1

Monday, February 2, 2015

Background: To recommend approval by City Council of Weed Resolution #15-07.

Action Requested: Approval of Weed Resolution #15-07 Planning and Zoning Department Planning and Zoning Committee

Recommendation of approval by City Council: Weed Resolutions must be approved by City Council.

15-07
Placed on the consent agenda.

The Planning and Zoning Committee recommends the City Council approve the resolution and

Recommendation:

Adoption of the resolution will probably cause a number of people to voluntarily clean up their

impact:

Adoption of the resolution will result in some more severe situations. This procedure is comparable, but should result in

need:

The resolution shall mandate the cleaning of approximately fourteen (14) separate properties

purpose:

Exhibit A

Ad hoc: Resolution No. 15.07

Department Code Enforcement

Subject: Resolution No. 15.07

Meeting of February 12, 2015
CONSENT ITEM ABSTRACT
City Council
Roswell, New Mexico
AUGMENTED AND APPROVED 12th Day of February 2019

 Shamron C. City Clerk

Attested:

City Seal

Denise L. Knipp Merar Mayor

Shawnee, Oklahoma

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Shawnee, Oklahoma, finds that the premises

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council, the

The premises set forth in Exhibit A are declared to be so covered with rubbish, weeds,

HEALTH AND GENERAL WEALTH, WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Shawnee, Oklahoma, finds that the premises

A RESOLUTION REQUIRING THE REMOVAL OF CERTAIN RUBBISH, WEEDS, WRECKAGE OR
### Memorandum

**To:**

**From:**

**Date:**

**Subject:**

**Meeting Date:**

**Item No.:**

**E-2**

**Monday, February 2, 2015**

#### Background

Council Recommendation: Approval of Credit Resolution #15-08, Recommendation of Approval by City Council

Resolution #15-08:

To recommend approval by City Council of Credit Resolution #15-08.
Recommendations:

The Planning and Zoning Committee recommends this resolution be placed on the consent agenda and that the City Council approve this resolution.

Deemed by the City Council to be of public interest.

Resolution and notice will be served to owners requiring action within fifteen (15) days.

Purpose:

The Resolution shall require the removal of demolition of one (1) dilapidated structure.

Exhibit A: Resolution No. 15-08

Attachment: Resolution No. 15-08

Subject: Resolution No. 15-08

Meeting of the Planning and Zoning Committee

City Council

Roswell, New Mexico
Upon the adoption of this resolution, it shall be the duty of the City Building Inspector to make a thorough inspection of the building, and to issue a certificate that the same is safe for occupancy. The certificate shall be filed with the City Clerk, and a copy thereof shall be given to the owner of the building. The certificate shall be in duplicate, and both the original and the duplicate shall be kept on file in the office of the Building Inspector.

The Certificate shall contain the following:\n
1. The name and address of the owner or lessee of the premises.
2. The date of issuance of the Certificate.
3. The name and address of the Building Inspector.
4. The name of the building, including the number of stories and the number of dwelling units.
5. The date of the inspection and the results thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the City Council has adopted this resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

The City Building Inspector

City of Roswell, New Mexico

DATE

RESOLUTION NO. 15-08
Exhibit A

February 12, 2015
Resolution #15-08

Sharon Cato, City Clerk

ATTEST:

[Signature]

CITY SEAL

ADOPTED AND APPROVED the 8th day of January 2015.

The purpose of the resolution provides, such notice may be served by posting a copy of said resolution upon
the premises complained of, followed by legal publication of said resolution one time in a
newspaper of general circulation within the city.

In accordance with the result of the resolution by service a copy thereof upon him by certified mail, return receipt
in the event such owner, occupant or agent cannot be found or served within said

Inspector to notify the owner, occupant or agent in charge of such building or structure of the
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Plan, NM 67418</td>
<td>Indicated Delineation</td>
<td>1st St., Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Plan, NM 67418</td>
<td>Open To Public</td>
<td>1st St., Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Plan, NM 67418</td>
<td>Designated Site</td>
<td>1st St., Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruskell, NM 88201</td>
<td>Indicated Property</td>
<td>1st St., Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruskell, NM 88201</td>
<td>Open To Public</td>
<td>1st St., Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruskell, NM 88201</td>
<td>Designated Site</td>
<td>1st St., Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruskell, NM 88201</td>
<td>109th N. Minneapolis Ave. C/Sivs</td>
<td>1st St., Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruskell, NM 88201</td>
<td>Open To Public</td>
<td>1st St., Texarkana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruskell, NM 88201</td>
<td>Designated Site</td>
<td>1st St., Texarkana</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

January 8, 2015
Resolution #15-03
Memorandum

F-1

Monday, February 2, 2015
Commission and Edie Carillo was appointed the alternate representative from the Planning and Zoning Committee. A vote of 6-0.

The City Council recommended for approval by a vote of 6-0.

CITY OF ROSWELL, COUNTY OF COLUMBIA, STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COMMITTEE: Planning and Zoning

CONTACT:

MEETING DATE: 2-27-12

ATTACHMENTS:

ITEM ONLY INFORMATION

INFORMATION ITEM ABSTRACT
CITY COUNCIL
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO
MEMORANDUM

Subject: Discussion of OMA Property Updates
From: To:
Item No.: Meeting Date:

F-2
Monday, February 2, 2015

The Planning and Zoning Committee received input from the Planning and Zoning Committee.

Staff continued to research the history and potential of the property.
Information regarding the OMA Property.

To provide the Planning and Zoning Committee with

Action Requested:

Attachments:

Background:

Staff Recommendation:
that assumption. This report is just another step dedicated to
the community as a whole, rather than for any one particular
city or region. This report seems to advocate that the effec-
tive and efficient management of this public asset is a critical concern to
the future prosperity of this place. It is a concern that must be hand
led for the long term benefit of the city.

This report was developed in 1996 by a former city manager who
and general determinations of city council for considerations by the city’s planning and zoning commission. This report contains specific recommendations that will be included in the
future management of this asset. The report will focus on this asset’s 
operation and maintenance, and has been developed to assist in making determination needed in order to
assess and maintain the asset’s operation and maintenance, and has been developed to assist in making determinations and recommendations.

It is to the credit of the current City Council that

Public space proposes.

This report provides a summary of current as well as

Introduction

PART I
1. Extensive information gathering, public hearings, and consultation phase.

The consultant’s proposed feasibility study, plan and development process will be presented as follows:

- Support property
- Strata and open space use in the southeastern quarter of the old airport property
- Utting and Utting Park, riverfront area

The consultant’s proposed development plan for the old airport property - The draft plan for the old airport property is shown on the following page. A copy of the draft plan is available at the city’s website. In the absence of a detailed site description, the draft plan is developed in consultation with the city’s planning department. The city council will review and discuss the draft plan at the next meeting, scheduled for [insert date].

Meeting of September 12, 1992:

- The draft plan will be presented for review at the city council meeting scheduled for [insert date].

Submittal Date:

Current Authorization

Part II
Two different attributes for college basketball are displayed in the traffic study. Both attributes add the old college and university street projects are not scheduled until 1999.

According to the study, construction dates for the two projects are expected to conflict. The model, which is based on a "multi-step" analysis of trends in traffic flow, indicates that the projects will be completed at different times.

The study utilizes a statistical simulation model to analyze the effectiveness of each project.

In the traffic study, the old airport property is expected to be identified with street improvements that are part of the old airport project. The study recommends that the old airport property be retained for future development and that the old airport project be revised to include specific recommendations for streets.

The study concludes that a traffic plan for the area could be implemented by 1996.

Comprehensive Traffic Study

The study focuses on issues related to traffic flow in the area. It is anticipated that the City Council will approve the comprehensive traffic plan by 1996.

2. Presentation of alternative conceptual plans for the area.
5. Construction of first phase improvement started by 1996.
6. Initial use of first phase improvement by 1996.
7. Full use of first phase improvement by 1996.

The study includes a traffic analysis and a comprehensive traffic plan for the area.

1995. With the reemergence of interest in the area, the City Council approved the comprehensive traffic plan by early 1996.
The old Airport Terminal Building Issue.

The proposal to rezone the airport terminal area for commercial development was made at the July 1984 meeting of the Airport Commission. The commission approved the rezoning, subject to conditions that the airport board meet with the city to discuss the implications of the rezoning.

The rezoning proposal was approved by the city council at the meeting of September 6, 1984. The rezoning was approved, subject to conditions that the airport board meet with the city to discuss the implications of the rezoning.
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The rezoning proposal was approved by the city council at the meeting of September 6, 1984. The rezoning was approved, subject to conditions that the airport board meet with the city to discuss the implications of the rezoning.

The rezoning proposal was approved by the city council at the meeting of September 6, 1984. The rezoning was approved, subject to conditions that the airport board meet with the city to discuss the implications of the rezoning.

The rezoning proposal was approved by the city council at the meeting of September 6, 1984. The rezoning was approved, subject to conditions that the airport board meet with the city to discuss the implications of the rezoning.
Within the mix of other priorities, the airport property will need to be considered - areas of concern, future capital funding for public improvement - parks and recreation facilities are just three on the current agenda. The lines with the city’s efforts, the airport’s operation and a major investment in the city’s area of community and recreation, are the critical needs for future planning, and capital to ensure as to what extent community awareness among city officials as to what extent airport property serves the city.

There are capital project costs that the city council will need to address in the next few months. After that, there are capital project costs that the airport property serves the city.

Area as well as the public works support facilities to the extent that the airport property will need to be considered, this would be not only how these facilities can be developed, but the extent of park recreation and amenity space with properly maintained and well-planned space for the airport property. The airport property will need to be integrated into the existing community, the airport property will need to be integrated into the existing community, and other fragmented complexes. This is the area of transportation to serve the airport property.

Since these facilities, it is clear, will serve the airport property, the airport property will need to be integrated into the existing community, and other fragmented complexes. This is the area of transportation to serve the airport property.

The cost assessment with respect to demolition of the existing airport terminal building are just a small part of the overall airport property.
property use issue. The current planning report will not attempt to extend the inventory report we used extensively by the planning and zoning committee and the city council. The report not only presented updated information on the property that expanded the depth of background for use by the city planning and zoning commission and the city council. The report is presented in a series of workshops held in the summer of 1994 after the city council's mandated climate study of the city. The report also presented background information on the city's development, the city's goals, the city's plans, and the impacts of climate change on the city. The report is intended to be used as a planning tool for six weeks, and it is not intended to be a complete planning document. The report is intended to be a planning tool for six weeks, and it is not intended to be a comprehensive document on climate change. The report uses a series of workshops held in the summer of 1994, the planning department has been preparing for the climate study since 1993, and the planning department has been preparing for the climate study since 1993, but the report is not comprehensive.
Community recreation center/parks/open space
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From Planning and Zoning Workshops
Summary Review of Plans and Studies

The Rosehill Planning and Zoning Commission

Such as:
Meeting several of the comprehensive plan's stated objectives,

- Commercial, recreation, and commercial employment areas,
- School and community streets and walkways, infrastructure, and transportation.
The city's economic and cultural vitality, the city's economy and property values,
- The city's economic vitality, the city's property values.

The city's economic vitality, the city's property values.

1992 Comprehensive Plan

Heard by the P & Z on September 22, 1994.

The workshops led up to a public hearing

The workshops led up to a public hearing

The workshops led up to a public hearing

The workshops led up to a public hearing

The workshops led up to a public hearing

The workshops led up to a public hearing

The workshops led up to a public hearing

The workshops led up to a public hearing

The workshops led up to a public hearing
The consultant recommended the southeast quarter of the old airport property as a site for potential freeways and open space development. In 1994, the consultant prepared a parks, recreation and open space plan. This recommended plan, along with some interesting recommendations for use of the old airport property contained in the report, made the consultant recommend the following plan:

1. As a part of the initial development strategy, recommend rezoning the old airport property to allow a variety of development such as urban centers, commercial and institutional land uses.
2. Reserve portions of the airport site, along with the existing airport, for urban center and commercial land uses. This will enhance the city's airport interests.
3. The housing improvement plan addressed under the plan focused its recreation area on the northeast quadrant of the old airport property with the existing public room. 16 acres of public property would be needed for the proposed parks. It was recommended that a multi-purpose facility that would accommodate Amsterdam's growth be part of the development.

In this general area, the consultant recommended the following:

- Integered development and public access some of the most desirable development and to access some of the most desirable areas for recreational facilities within the city. This recommendation will provide access to this desirable area, and further enhance the quality of life. The plan further stressed that high traffic areas should be developed by the city under this plan, and recreation in outlying areas provided by the city under this plan.
park acreage currently developed and maintained by the city. The current downtown core and adjacent lands should be protected and preserved for public use and enjoyment. In addition, the city should explore the possibility of developing a large park area on the west side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 70 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 120 acres. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the south side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should be well-conceived and well-promoted, with a strong emphasis on recreation and education. The park should be designed to accommodate a wide range of activities, including sports fields, playgrounds, picnic areas, and nature trails. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the west side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should also include a variety of cultural and educational activities, such as art and music festivals, outdoor concerts, and educational programs for children. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the south side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should also include a variety of cultural and educational activities, such as art and music festivals, outdoor concerts, and educational programs for children. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the west side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should also include a variety of cultural and educational activities, such as art and music festivals, outdoor concerts, and educational programs for children. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the south side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should also include a variety of cultural and educational activities, such as art and music festivals, outdoor concerts, and educational programs for children. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the west side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should also include a variety of cultural and educational activities, such as art and music festivals, outdoor concerts, and educational programs for children. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the south side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should also include a variety of cultural and educational activities, such as art and music festivals, outdoor concerts, and educational programs for children. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the west side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should also include a variety of cultural and educational activities, such as art and music festivals, outdoor concerts, and educational programs for children. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the south side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should also include a variety of cultural and educational activities, such as art and music festivals, outdoor concerts, and educational programs for children. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the west side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.

The park should also include a variety of cultural and educational activities, such as art and music festivals, outdoor concerts, and educational programs for children. The city should also consider developing a large park area on the south side of the downtown core. This would add approximately 50 acres to the current park system, bringing the total number of acres to approximately 170 acres.
Other backround studies and plans reviewed
were shown in the northeast quadrant.

For the area.

In the study, the property was evaluated as a flood reservoir. The commission was shown the possibility that the northeast quadrant of the old airport could be used to accommodate the southwest quadrant. The commission also presented background information on...
be dedicated for a soccer field/sports complex.

The southwestern quadrant (121 acres) of the old airport property

At the conclusion of the September 22, 1994, public

the appendix of this report.

ment on the subject. Minutes of that hearing are contained in

meeting of the subject. Minutes of that hearing are contained in

workshop information and to receive additional public com-

to schedule a public hearing for September 27, 1994, for review

workshop sessions. The commission's single recommendation was

property issue, city commission members were introduced at all four

shops to review and discuss various aspects of the old airport

shops to review and discuss various aspects of the old airport

The commission held in two months' time held four work-

a public hearing to receive further input.

with recommendations from the 1994 land use plan and scheduled

were held a smallemarked commission the hearing of "southeasticlo"

reviewed information presented in the first three workshops, re-

reviewed the airport "southeasticlo" as a whole, the commission

workshop focused on a response to the city council's directive

workshop focused on a response to the city council's directr
the planning and zoning commission's fourth and final

The planning and zoning commission's fourth and final
An important note: The report indicates that the construction of the new building will commence shortly.

The report also recommends that the city incorporate the following features into the new building:
- A multi-purpose gymnasium
- A community center
- A green space
- A restaurant

In addition, the report suggests that the city should
- Conduct market research
- Evaluate potential locations
- Develop a master plan

The report concludes with a recommendation to the city council to adopt the following measures:
- Increase the budget for the project
- Seek partnerships with local businesses
- Schedule public meetings to discuss the project

In conclusion, the report is a comprehensive guide for the city council to follow in the development of the new building.
The area designated for College Boulevard is described as follows:

1. The College Boulevard area should be zoned with a more comprehensive circulation that includes permeable zones, pedestrian-friendly zones, and bicycle-friendly zones.

2. The College Boulevard area should become an extension of College Boulevard.

3. The College Boulevard area should be designed to enhance the pedestrian environment.
2. The proposed right-of-way of College Road is located on the north by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the west by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the north by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the west by the proposed right-of-way of College Road.

3. The tract is bounded by the west by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the west by the proposed right-of-way of College Road.

4. The tract is recommended for future development as shown on the R-1 zoning category in the R-1 zoning category. It is also recommended that a safe and accessible public access be provided.

5. The proposed tract is currently zoned R-1, south by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the south by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the west by the proposed right-of-way of College Road.

6. The tract is located in the Southeastern quadrant of the area shown on the map. It is recommended for future development as shown on the R-1 zoning category in the R-1 zoning category.

7. The proposed right-of-way of College Road is located on the north by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the east by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the north by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the east by the proposed right-of-way of College Road.

8. The proposed right-of-way of College Road is located on the north by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the east by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the north by the proposed right-of-way of College Road on the east by the proposed right-of-way of College Road.